I'm writing concerning Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary just days before the election. This shows the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair is licensed to use public airwaves free of charge, and is supposed to serve the public interest. When a large company like this controls large sections of the airwaves, we get more of what makes money for them and less of what we need. Local news that is responsive to local people, not some far away corporate leader's personal political agenda. Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them

Thank you. Bob Kordas